

TO:

Admins of LP National Facebook page @libertarians
Nick Sarwark <chair@lp.org>
Alex Merced, Vice Chair <alex.merced@lp.org>
Ms. Harlos (Someone cc her so she cannot claim harassment!)
info@lp.org
Jess Mears <jess.mears@lp.org>
Selected LNC members
Link on various Facebook groups

RE: REMOVING MY “BAN” FROM LP NATIONAL FACEBOOK PAGE

I have heard through the grapevine that LNC Secretary Caryn Ann Harlos got me banned from the LP Facebook page over charges of “doxing” and “harassment”. This a reaction to a post on that page where I complained that she had ***LIED*** about my repeatedly sexually propositioning her and questioned her veracity on other allegations. **Ms. Harlos likes to make herself the victim. Evidently it excuses her victimizing others with Big Lies and attempts to ban or purge them.**

Ms. Harlos refuses to admit the main issues between us are abortion and her obsessive and self-promoting means of drawing attention to herself, her body, her hair, her nails, etc. She does this to help her gain followers, in part to help her get rid of the abortion plank. She has concocted ***lies*** to make my criticism look like a purely personal issue. FYI, I helped form Pro-Choice Libertarians in 1987, manage pro-choicelibertarians.net and co-moderate our two Facebook pages.


Ms. Harlos abhors criticism of her political positions, ethical lapses and personal style or tactics. A number of posters to the LP national Facebook page have made such criticisms of Ms. Harlos, sometimes repeatedly. (*See full page image on last page of this PDF.*) Will they be booted for harassment next?

Banning me is a bad precedent for the future. Is Ms. Harlos’ even authorized to abuse her LNC power by banning people from the LP’s Facebook page??

Below I document why Ms. Harlos’ charges of doxing and harassment are false. The burden of proof is on Ms. Harlos. Constantly repeating **A BIG LIE** doesn’t make it true!!

NO DOXING: Libertarians would agree this refers to sharing private information like addresses and phone numbers, ***not*** public online information shared in the context of political criticism.

* Ms. Harlos considers it to be doxing that I mentioned that she was married to Marcel Dossantos and that there was a mainstream news article about his arrest for allegedly abusing her. See <https://dreamindemon.com/community/threads/deputy-marcel-dossantos-didnt-like-it-when-his-wife-changed-the-channel.25246/>



* However, Ms. Harlos has referred to her ex-husband’s abuse in public posts on Facebook and elsewhere a number of times over the years.

* Ms. Harlos wrote publicly about the fact she was married to Marcel Dossantos during her previous controversial career as a Christian “Preterist” ideologue (and internet brawler). See this site’s relevant screen shot at left and at <http://deedeewarren1.blogspot.com/>

* At Independent Political report I mentioned that link and some of those facts. ***Ms. Harlos immediately read and replied to the post but never claimed she was “doxed.”*** Search [/deedeewarren1.blogspot.com/](https://deedeewarren1.blogspot.com/) at <https://independentpoliticalreport.com/2018/04/lnc-meeting-coverage-denver-april-21-22-2018/>

* Harlos’ current address and her relation to “Dossantos” is easily available by searching her current and/or former name on sites like Intellius. Mentioning these easily available facts is NOT doxing.

NO HARASSMENT: Libertarians would consider “harassment” to be directly contacting people via personal address, phone, email, social media, etc. with intimidating insults and threats or unwanted and repeatedly rejected personal requests/propositions. Libertarians support as free speech asking questions of question dodgers and criticizing and even mocking hypocrites and statist enablers.

* I think I’ve contacted Ms. Harlos perhaps 6 or 7 times in almost 3 years via such personal social media, plus cc’d her on an email or two to the LNC. I think only a recent reply to one of her “Pink Flame” Youtube videos could be considered a bit “nasty.” Show us the evidence otherwise.

* Ms. Harlos' has lied in several forums, evidently over more than a year, alleging I repeatedly propositioned her online – without providing real evidence. I see this as ***a pure concoction of a “pro-life” fanatic for political purposes.*** The screen shot below is the first I heard about this untrue and frankly YUKKY allegation, back in April 2018. See link and the screen shot on page 3. <https://independentpoliticalreport.com/2018/04/lnc-meeting-coverage-denver-april-21-22-2018/>

Caryn Ann Harlos


April 30, 2018 at 21:38

• • •

I said no to your several propositions with lewd comments about my breasts and you've harassed me every since. No man would get away with that but you're using your female privilege as a shield.

Nope. You are a spurned suitor who has harassed me every since and you picked the wrong chick to bully.

*Harlos "evidence" for this ***BIG LIE*** is one joke I made on her Facebook page in 2016. (I included a sexy 30 year old photo of me because I'm a bit competitive, but she deleted it.) Her post featured deep cleavage photos of her and dozens of similar replies by males and females about the photos. See a full page screen shot of original post and dozens of such replies at <http://carolmoore.net/HarlosLiesOnSexualHarassment.pdf>




* If Ms. Harlos is going to continue promoting this ***BIG LIE*** she should produce her evidence of such repeated "propositions" and stop the phony excuses. After all, she is a legal secretary who claims she's documented illegal harassment in the past. Facebook keeps copies of all posts which I believe can be accessed under subpoena if it is necessary to prove defamation.

* *Ms. Harlos already has blocked me, among many other critics on Facebook, so she doesn't have to read anything we write and therefore feel "harassed" by our legitimate criticisms.* Many of those criticisms are on groups of which she is not even a member.

* Note that during her Christian Preterist days Ms. Harlos also made and traded charges of "harassment" against foes in another faction, so this is part of her ***very disruptive and dishonest modus operandi***. Opponents even alleged Harlos or her male ally contacted employers of individuals organizing the competing faction's conference.

See <http://planetpreterist.com/content/campaign-intimidation-and-harassment>




* In August 2018 Ms. Harlos was widely criticized for leading an attempt to get the LNC to purge some socialists with a few statist and/or anti-property views. Criticism included several memes by others, including this one. She still uses anti-communist/ socialist/leftist rhetoric to attract followers, even though many of them are equally or more statist.

*Of course, Harlos fails to criticize the horrific statist views of the most extreme anti-abortionists. She merely states they are not "practical" to enforce. And she's sympathetic to enforcing them after the 4th month? Not clear because Ms. Harlos refuses to write a systematic description of her current views. She only does long, rambling videos or short replies. Listen to her hour long video, should you have the time, and see if you can figure it out: <https://youtu.be/2pmi52Dtvb4>

BOTTOM LINE - HARLOS HATES EFFECTIVE CRITICS WHO STAND IN THE WAY OF HER AMBITIONS: Ms. Harlos is a self-promoting public figure who presents herself as the epitome of the ideology of libertarianism. ("Ms. NAP" as she called herself at the 2018 New Hampshire state convention.) <https://youtu.be/LE5ANsJ1QFU>

As a public figure Ms. Harlos must expect journalistic and political investigation. Rather than address criticism, Ms. Harlos replies with ad hominem attacks, false accusations or bans, purges and even threats of lawsuits.



Caryn Ann Harlos
Oh the boobs!!!!



4 hrs Like Reply More

*Harlos often uses attention getting tactics like pink hair, drawing attention to her appearance and creating multiple LP and personal posting and video sites promoting herself. Still controversial is her using her self-described "pro-life breasts" to promote herself, as she explains at end of this podcast.

[#56](https://itunes.apple.com/us/podcast/wizardly-wisdom/id1182195275?mt=2)

* In reply to the January 4th Harlos video, a national LP Facebook poster harshly criticized Harlos for one of her 2016 memes, at left, which Harlos had labeled "Oh the boobs!!!!" Will that poster be banned too? Or only if they criticize her a second time?

TO END:

Criticisms of Ms. Harlos on the LP national Facebook page will not stop just because she uses her BIG LIES to ban me.

Ms. Harlos obviously should NOT be involved in the LP national Facebook page since she is criticized there frequently because of her overwhelming and controversial presence on the page. Does she even have authorization to ban people from it?

The LP and LNC cannot hold itself hostage to every temper tantrum from the incredibly hypersensitive and hyperactive Ms. Harlos, so her banning me is a bad precedent.

I request the ban be removed.

However, if the LP National Facebook page wants to have more civility and/or limited criticism of LNC members, the policies should be stated explicitly. And posters should receive a warning about civility.

Carol Moore - 1/9/19

Member since 1979

Former officer in NYC (1980) and DC Libertarian parties (1988 and 2000-2004)



PS: Just today a feminist Facebook group shared this Youtube video. It looks like pink hair, cleavage and "birth canal" arguments like those Ms. Harlos also makes are COMMON in the abortion prohibition movement. So maybe this is all about sending a message to abortion prohibitionists: "Come on in, the LP water is fine!"
<https://youtu.be/CNgwsT295G8>

Doing a search I just discovered "Pink hair" and "being hip" is part of the new "pro-life" movement. Three "pinkies" photos are featured!
http://www.slate.com/articles/double_x/cover_story/2016/10/the_future_of_the_pro_life_movement.html

Ms. Harlos "BRAND" seems to be an anti-abortion special interest group dog whistle!

Next page: Examples of some typically harsh responses to January 4, 2019 Harlos video on LP national Facebook page.



Libertarian Party ✓
January 4 at 7:00 PM · 🌐 · 🌐

Want to get involved with the Libertarian Party?
Caryn Ann Harlos, Libertarian Party LNC Secretary has some ideas for you!

Some typical response to a Harlos post.

Arthur Sido Want to be taken seriously? Step one: Dress like an adult
Like · Reply · 1d 10

Kristi Avery Is this why you're watching? To criticize?
Like · Reply · 1d 3

Chad Broadway unfortunately, he does have a point. i dont care what she has on, but a lot of people do take business attire much more seriously...
Like · Reply · 1d 2

Andrew Conan I miss the old guard. They didn't make desperate attempts to appear hip.
The LP is now made up of political hipsters.
Like · Reply · 1d 5

Chazz Mackinnon Hey pink hairs great, but I'm a fan of blue hair so...
Like · Reply · 1d 1

Caryn Ann Harlos, Libertarian Party LNC Secretary me too! trying to convince my husband, but he's not going for it.
Like · Reply · 21h 1

Tom Frascone This woman has stated she is anti-women's reproductive rights — specifically a women's right to make a choice about her own body when it comes to terminating pregnancy. She's also constantly bringing her personal religious beliefs into the discussion, which is completely unnecessary and inappropriate.
Videos like this, and people like her make me want to distance myself from the LP. I've been registered Libertarian since I was 18, but definitely considering a change, since these days, the party seems to be turning into a half-assed circus filled with GOP rejects.
Like · Reply · 1d · Edited 4




Tom Frascone And when she's not busy proposing anti-choice changes to the LP Platform, she's posting clickbait FOX News articles.



Monica Fredrickson Tom Frascone Eish, thanks for the share. Read the article, and sounds like she doesn't know how abortions work, or the vast array of reasons why people have them throughout the whole term. The situation is almost always complicated, and decisions are rarely made lightly. It's a time sensitive issue that needs to be between the woman, her doctor, and those she chooses to share with. Not the government.
The LP stance is one I agree with and revoking it would just open the LP up for theocracy oriented GOP rejects.
Like · Reply · 1d 2

Tonya J Adams This idiot who alienates potential LPs... Wanna see how she acts on Facebook's Colorado LP page? All because people didn't want to be represented by some pervy old white guy LP candidate in Denver who chose to campaign with lingerie clad waitresses instead of actually doing anything to show LPs as legitimate or credible... She is a middle aged woman begging for attention...



Caryn Ann Harlos, Libertarian Party LNC Secretary Nah, I'm an old lady now. the AARP wants me.
Like · Reply · 13h

Karl Smith Absolutely horrible talking head. Cartoonish, a fucking joke. All us liberty folk will just withdraw deeper into isolationist liberty with this joke of an attempt at a Liberty Party.
Like · Reply · 1d 1